

k
by S N

Submission date: 02-May-2021 03:27AM (UTC-0500)

Submission ID: 1575693911

File name: position_paper.edited.docx (32.05K)

Word count: 2586

Character count: 14243

Position Paper

Name

Institution

Course

Instructor

Date

Position Paper

The United States holds a vital part in the world. Therefore, they need to initiate strategies that will make them maintain their supremacy. Security threat has emerged from different countries especially China and the many armies deployed in Afghanistan. Although the United States is among the superpower countries, its leadership is vital to safeguard its supremacy. The opportunities need to be grabbed as soon as possible and guarantee that the strategies help recapture a sense of shared vision and purpose and obtain the diplomatic suppleness from the national security bureaucracy in the past years. This will help in returning the veracities of the international landscape and progresses the skills with substantial strengths. Therefore, this paper will focus on taking a position on Bidens administration's national security strategy and provide advice on the strategy that will address the present global security threat.

Addressing the four Threats and Maintaining Parity with Other Global Powers

Security threats can emerge in different ways, especially in the current world of technology. Globalization has resulted in easy trade and information sharing, which makes many countries tighten their security while protecting their citizens. However, the world is more crowded and intricate hence making it more competitive than ever. Although the United States has always led on the game and comprises significant military power. The global order developed during the cold war has changed intensely, resulting in unparalleled encounters for America. Snyder (2004) stated that great power rivalry is back and it has come with substantial dangers and trade-offs which individuals have not put into practice.

President Joe Biden has taken the government in a time with immense challenges and is working to ensure he builds America again. Security is a matter of concern to president Biden.

One thing the president has focused on is to work in eliminating the security threats and empowering the American citizens to rise again. However, China was expanding its influence and focused its energies on developing its country and make it an international economic peer of America. Therefore, the Biden administration focuses on bringing the security cost down by withdrawing the United States military out of Afghanistan by September 11th, 2021.

The fight between the United States and Afghanistan began in 2001 when the Al-Qaeda group attacked America. Although the US recognized the person responsible for the attack as Bin Laden, the Taliban refused to hand over the criminal and thus, America strike Afghanistan. Bradley & Morrison (2013) claimed that President George W. Bush emphasized that the country did not request the mission, but they would accomplish it; therefore, the raids began to react to the 9/11 attacks. Moreover, the United States managed to eradicate the Taliban from an authority. Nevertheless, they did not eliminate it because they had more significant influence.

Marsh (2012) stated that the national security council (NSC) tactics controlled by the organization's deputy for Afghanistan and Iraq administered the long-held arrangement concerning the Rumsfeld Casey approach and supported the increase of the American troops. Moreover, the NSC deputy arrangement originated from the acceptance that the government approaches of decreasing the American troop's capability were founded on conventions that were not effective. The ineffectiveness of the techniques was because of the allegations that the religious ferocity in Iraq could undermine the Middle East and the United States military could result in a neutral force in Iraq.

Moreover, following the President Donald Trump administration timeline of 1st may deal with the Taliban, President Joe Biden added months of withdrawing the soldiers. Taliban still controls the largest part of the nation and therefore, the agreement could not be used as a good

strategy by the president. The best way to solve the problem is through developing proper treaties that will ensure the Afghan soil will not be utilized to weaken the United States' security. Furthermore, the United States needs to ensure the country is safe from any Taliban attack before the forces can be withdrawn. Besides, the United States government needed to ensure that the Afghan government holds the largest part in Afghanistan and controls the whole economy before they withdraw.

The strategy of having a summer summit with the Russian president Vladimir Putin on discussing Ukrainian sovereignty is essential. It will make the United States maintain its parity with other nations. Ukraine has an essential history with the United States, which makes them support them in having their authority. Mearsheimer (2001) argued that Ukraine has passed through significant challenges in having its authority. For example, in 1920, Poland was a newly created country and took advantage of the weaknesses of the Soviet Union and occupied Ukraine. Therefore, it will be essential for the United States to ensure they sustain the authority of Ukraine and territorial integrity in their globally known borders and encourage the execution of domestic restructurings.

The parity of the United States with other world power countries will be maintained because America has always focused on liberty, free enterprises and democracy. Therefore, the sovereignty of Ukraine will be essential in indicating that the country requires political and economic stability for every country. This will enable America to release individuals' abilities and guarantee their future success. Jervis (1968) emphasized that the United States enjoys its supremacy of incomparable military force and outstanding economic and partisan influence. Therefore, the same source added that they cannot move away from their principles and values as well as utilize the media for independent benefits. America intends to develop equal favours in

attaining people liberty, situations where all the countries and societies can select for themselves the benefits and encounters of partisan and economic freedoms.

The strategy on engaging Iran in the nuclear de-escalation treaty will work and still maintain US parity. Mearsheimer (2001) claimed that since world war II several efforts had been made that eventually did not create a security model in the middle east. The energies incorporated in developing the nuclear treaties are because of the increased distrust among nations and control of fights and politics. Nevertheless, through President Joe Biden, essential efforts are made seem to be working because new methods are used to de-escalate fights, develop values, and establish confidence and collaboration among countries in the area. Although Welch (1992) claims that in the nuclear calamity, the main decision will be stricken out in the recognized settings, for instance, the national security council, however, the participants involved will include either the president or higher individuals in key companies. Nevertheless, the United States has managed to initiate some progress in the re-engagement with Iran to the deal. Therefore, the strategy is essential and effective because it will require both Iran and the United States to agree. If Iran observes the policy, then the United States will do the same. Biden administration should implement the strategy to ensure that Iran destabilizes its behavior and airborne armaments creation and production.

The current world has resulted in significant challenges in the economy because of high competition. Countries with highly developed infrastructure can develop goods and services and cheaper cost than those which have not advanced. Therefore, considering that the United States is faced with immense infrastructure development, especially at the state level, they need to change the way they do business. President Biden's two trillion dollars will help create modern,

efficient and reliable infrastructure to allow easy competition with other countries in the economy.

Use of Longstanding Alliances and International Organization Offer

The emergence of alliances is essential in attaining the goals and ensuring the security threat strategies are achieved successfully. Therefore, the United States has focused on implementing long-lasting alliances and international organizations offers, such as with the Taliban in withdrawing from Afghanistan. The free world is an essential term indicating that countries are free to make alliances and offers with global organizations. However, the alliances are always done with different intentions. For instance, after the Cold War, China, Russia, and Iran are revanchist powers (Putnam, 1988). The first benefit of alliances in this presented security threat is to prevent war.

Nevertheless, not all fights are stopped by alliances and offers from organizations. Still, through reducing the violence, distrustful alliances expenses have an adequate record of preventing revanchist countries from utilizing violence as a method of handling disputes. For instance, in solving the security threats challenges, alliances such as engaging Iran in talks concerning nuclear de-escalation treaty is essential in stopping Iran from using airborne weapons. Moreover, alliances can control allies because countries such as Russia and the United States can involve in a summer summit and focus on Ukrainian sovereignty. Still, in one way or another, the two countries are benefiting from it. Mearsheimer (2001), Ukraine has been the major recipient of the United States' foreign and military assistance in Europe and Eurasia.

The willingness of the Americans to Meeting the Desired Actions of the Administration

Setting up a September 11th deadline for the complete withdrawal of all American troops to Afghanistan is a strategy that US president-elect Biden has decided to emulate to secure a peace treaty and secure long-term peace for the American people. The American people will not sit and clap for the president's strategy because the war between the two countries is still very fresh on their minds. They have not forgotten how the Taliban's attacked them in the year 2001. The war cost trillions of dollars in addition to two thousand US service members. The Afghanistan people have not forgotten the cost of war too. At least ten thousand Afghan civilians have been injured or killed, not forgetting how many people were left homeless, fatherless, with windows and poor. The aftermath of the war, considering all the casualties, is unbearable. Biden's goal is to move to "zero" troops by September.

Considering that the US government is a state that does not consider negotiations with terror groups, the decisions still bring a lot of conflict from the American people. Most people are left wondering why the troops are being moved from the enemy country. To most people, this is an act of inferiority that the US government is portraying to the world. It is a sign of surrender seen from World War II whereby nations that defeated were forced to withdraw from the enemy nation and surrender. Since it is a non-conditional withdrawal, it is crystal clear that Afghanistan has won. Experts' opinion advice is that the withdrawal will diminish Washington's ability to collect and act on threats. US has invested a lot in military equipment and, therefore, can take on any country that poses a threat on its soil. Many developing countries depend on them for support whenever a threat arises by their failure to contain the Taliban's act as a demoralizer to those countries.

The strategy of scheduling a summer summit with Russian president Vladimir to discuss Ukrainian sovereignty to Americans is a win situation. The first and only soviet referendum was conducted in 1991 as the Ukrainian sovereignty referendum, whereby the voters were asked two questions. There has been an ongoing debate of the American ties to the former Soviet republic concerning US commitment to Ukraine to struggle against Russian aggression. The US support for Ukraine is vital to defend the basics doctrines of international law. Ukraine individually gives up the world's third-largest nuclear collection. America feels threatened by this fact, and Biden's strategy proves smart for the security of America. Ukrainian topographical position, let alone the Ukraine future course, will unavoidably impact wider American interests. Ukraine is one of the comparatively few countries with well-established space industry and it has established a partnership with the united states in large areas. This project has created a vast chance of employment for the Americans' and therefore improving American's infrastructure, and in the long run improving their economy. Over the past decade, Ukraine has arisen as one of the world's fastest-growing IT with American companies among the top clients. This rising in IT in Ukraine has left America no choice but to create opportunities to compete with other competitive countries. This makes the country a possibly appreciated US partner in today's knowledge-based economy.

The US strategy of re-engaging Iran in talks of a new nuclear de-escalation treaty is also a sharp mechanism that the president has put in place. Iran is a country that has posed a threat to the US for an extended period. A country that can pose a nuclear threat like Iran is an enemy to the US. Biden uses this mechanism to reduce the intensity of the threat posed by Iran by committing to a nuclear de-escalation treaty. The US diplomatic strategy has always focused on preventing Iran from obtaining nuclear weapons; therefore, America must consider regional and

nuclear issues, focusing on freezing Iran's nuclear activity. It is also a strategy that will bring peace between the two countries. The peace will help improve their countries' economies.

President Joe Biden has developed a strategy of passing an over two trillion US dollar American job plan to build and repair infrastructure and invest in tech training. This is a good move for the American people, particularly the unemployed. This will not only place jobs at the American people but also improve their economy tremendously. Emphasizing tech issues is a killer move to the Americans. Over the years, the US has relied on other countries such as Ukraine on complex tech matters. Improvement in their tech industry will improve not only their economy but also their military. This strategy should act as a mirror to the developing countries on the issues of employment.

In summation, the security threat is an element that is critical in the country. Therefore, the United States president Joe Biden has focused on developing appropriate strategies to ensure the country gains a competitive advantage and minimize effort used in facilitating the work. President Biden promised to restore national unity's political routine and essence to confront intense health and economic disasters. Therefore, in fulfilling the promises to the people, four strategic approaches were developed to counter the existing security threats. The strategy of moving troops from Afghanistan is built to bring peace between the two countries or give the US ample time to re-energize and attack Afghanistan at the right time. However, this strategy is essential in solving the problem because the method will encourage the Taliban, resulting in more instability.

On the other hand, Russian and the US have had disputes over their involvement with Ukraine due to the benefit the country has to both of them. Putting a discussion on Ukrainian's sovereignty will help them operate on neutral terms. Talks on nuclear de-escalation are important

to reduce nuclear involvement in both countries and finally freeze Iran's nuclear plan. The two trillion US dollar strategy is purposely for making America better and solves the economic challenges facing them.

References

- Bradley, C. A., & Morrison, T. W. (2013). Presidential Power, Historical Practice, and Legal Constraint. *Columbia Law Review*, 1097-1161.
- Jervis, R. (1968). Hypotheses on misperception. *World Politics: A Quarterly Journal of International Relations*, 454-479.
- Marsh, K. P. (2012). The intersection of war and politics: the Iraq war troop surge and bureaucratic politics. *Armed Forces & Society*, 38(3), 413-437.
- Mearsheimer, J. J. (2001). *The tragedy of great power politics*. WW Norton & Company.
- Putnam, R. D. (1988). Diplomacy and domestic politics: the logic of two-level games. *An international organization*, 427-460.
- Snyder, J. (2004). One world, rival theories. *Foreign policy*, (145), 52.
- Welch, D. A. (1992). The organizational process and bureaucratic politics paradigms: retrospect and prospect. *International Security*, 17(2), 112-146.

k

ORIGINALITY REPORT

0%

SIMILARITY INDEX

0%

INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches Off